

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			. 4.2	14 - 15 to 16 to 1	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/649,160	08/28/2000	Ed A. Schrock	3084.5US(96-1050.5)	6838	
759	06/04/2003				
James R Duzan			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Trask Britt P.O. Box 2550			KESHAVAN, BELUR V		
Salt Lake City, U	JT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2825		

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
e	09/649,160	SCHROCK, ED A.	
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Belur Keshavan	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication P ri df r Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	n th correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set and yet properties of the provided period for reply will, by set and yet provided period for reply will, by set and yet provided period for reply will, by set and yet provided period for reply will, by set and yet provided period for reply will, by set and yet provided period for reply will, by set provided period for reply will be set provided period for rep	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon. In. In a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this cor NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	•	• •	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applica			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection		• •	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		sapproved by the Examine	r.
If approved, corrected drawings are required			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Ap	plication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationate See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		itage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional	application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	· ·		
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	3) 5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s formal Patent Application (PTO	
S Debah and Tandara d Office			

DETAILED ACTION

Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement

On February 6, 2003, the applicant filed a supplemental Information Disclosure Statement which has been entered as Paper No.12. The IDS has been considered by the Examiner and has been made of record.

Prosecution Reopened

In light of the fact that the reference cited on the IDS of February 6, 2003 is very pertinent and reads on some of the claims, prosecution on the merits of this application is reopened on claims 1-8. Additionally, the prior art has been reviewed by the examiner since the prior office action and finds that Claim 5 should have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103. This necessitated the following supplemental action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The Rejections

Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nguyen (US Patent 5,155,066).

Regarding claim 1, Nguyen teaches in columns 3-4, a method of attaching a semiconductor die to a lead frame comprising: providing a source of snap curable

Art Unit: 2825

adhesive (rapidly curable adhesive); providing a source of lead frames; each lead frame having an attaching surface; providing a source of semiconductor die having an active surface; applying the snap curable adhesive to a portion of one of the lead frames; and contacting the active surface of one of the semiconductor die with one of the lead frames with the snap curable adhesive thereon.

Regarding claim 2, Nguyen teaches in column 7, lines 14-16, heating one of the semiconductor die.

Regarding claim 4, Nguyen teaches in columns 7, lines 41-42, that the snap curable adhesive has a cure time of substantially one minute or less.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen.

Regarding claims 3 and 6-8, Nguyen teaches the features outlined above but lacks a disclosure of a snap curable epoxy having a cure time of about 1 second or less.

Art Unit: 2825

Further, the manner of applying the snap curable adhesive to a portion of the active surface of one of the semiconductor dice in a predetermined pattern is not clearly disclosed.

As to claim 3, in the applicant's specification, on page 16, lines 15-17, the applicant describes commercially available snap curing 505 epoxy with a curing time of less than 1 second. It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the teachings of Nguyen and use the commercially available snap curing 505 epoxy to bond semiconductor dice to lead frames to increase the production rate of bonded semiconductor dice.

As to claims 6 and 8, the applicant has not disclosed that he application of snap curable adhesive to a portion of the active surface of one of the semiconductor die in a predetermined pattern solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and therefore it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the application of adhesive to one of the lead frames. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the adhesive to the die in a predetermined pattern as all that is necessary is the die be adhered to the lead frame.

As to claim 7, Nguyen discloses in column 7, lines 41-42 that the snap curable adhesive has a cure time of substantially one minute or less which includes a time of one second or less.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen in view of Evers or Japan '156(Derwent acc number 1995-002639).

Art Unit: 2825

Nguyen discloses the application of an adhesive to the frame or device so as to bond die to the lead frame. However, the manner of application of the adhesive by rollers is not clearly taught in Nguyen. It is notoriously well known to one of ordinary skill in the art of which the examiner takes Official Notice to use a roller to apply die bonding adhesives to the die or the frame. In support of this assertion, the examiner cites Evers in column 2, lines 58-65, which discloses that die bonding adhesives are typically applied by "rolling on". Additionally, an adhesive is applied to the frame of Japan '156 via a roller. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a roller to apply the adhesive as this is and was one of a host of equivalent manners of applications.

Status of the Application

As this is a supplemental action due to a new rejection (claim 5), the time for response (3 month shortened statutory time period) is restarted and expires 3 months from the date of mailing of this office action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Belur Keshavan whose telephone number is 703-306-5985. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursdays 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on 703-308-1323. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

Art Unit: 2825

305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1373.

Belur Keshavan Examiner Art Unit 2825

Mss/BK May 30, 2003

> MATTHEW SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800